

# LAAC CT ACQUISITION REFERENCE GUIDE



Recent studies have highlighted the benefits of pre-procedural cardiac CT planning for LAAC, including more accurate sizing, device selection accuracy, improved procedure time and predicting optimal fluoroscopic angles.<sup>1-5</sup> This guide provides a summary of the recommendations to obtain quality CT images for LAAC planning<sup>6-8</sup> and is not intended to supersede established institutional guidelines.



## **CT Scanner Requirements**

- Minimum 64-slice
- 128+ slice scanner recommended to increase image quality and minimize radiation exposure
- Equipped with cardiac package capable of prospective and retrospective gating



### **Patient Preparation**

- Non-fasting and maintain normal fluid intake
- Avoid caffeine and nicotine
- Drink a glass of water just prior to scan
- Familiarize patient with procedure and breath holding to ensure cooperation and image quality
- 16-18 gauge intravenous needle in antecubital vein (right AC preferable)



#### **Contrast Injection Considerations**

- Contrast media of 350 mg/mL lodine is recommended
- Total contrast volume 40-100 mL depending on scanner capabilities, patient size and renal function
- Dual head power injector recommended and the use of a saline bolus
- Contrast injection (5-6 mL/sec) followed by 40-60 mL saline at same injection rate is recommended
- Triphasic injection of contrast, followed by diluted contrast (at 20-30% contrast) and finally a saline bolus is recommended for additional right-heart chamber and septal opacification



# Acquisition Technique

- Use topogram to adjust scanning region from top of carina to the diaphragm (12-16 cm)
- Bolus tracking recommended with ROI placed in the ascending aorta or descending aorta
  ROI in left atrium when using lower contrast dose
- CT tube voltage should be 80-120 kVp according to patient size
- Tube current (mA) should be adjusted according to patient size in accordance with scanner recommendation and radiation dose reduction options
- Choosing iterative reconstruction options can improve image quality while reducing tube voltage and current
- Prospective gating covering a minimum 30-60% of the R-R interval is recommended to minimize radiation exposure
  - If using retrospective gating (RG), use ECG tube current modulation with higher current during 30-60% of R-R interval
  - RG is less sensitive to cardiac arrhythmia artifacts and allows for ECG-gating correction during reconstruction
- Slice thickness should be 0.5-1.0 mm

## Delayed Acquisition for Thrombus Rule Out

- Delayed imaging is recommended for thrombus exclusion if there is suspected/risk of thrombus OR if a filling defect is observed on the initial scan
- The delayed scan should be performed about 30-60 seconds after the previous contrast injection (dependent on scanner type)
- Same scan technique as initial scan but with NO additional contrast injection is recommended
- Scan region can be minimized to region of LAA to further reduce radiation exposure
- Tube voltage may be lowered to enhance previously injected contrast and reduce radiation dose to patient
- Choosing iterative reconstruction options can improve image quality while reducing tube voltage and current

# LEARN MORE AT WWW.WATCHMAN.COM/TRUPLAN

#### References

- 1 Chow, D. H., Bieliauskas, G., Sawaya, F. J., et al. (2017). A Comparative Study of Different Imaging Modalities for Successful Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Closure. Open Heart, 4(2), e000627.
- 2 Eng, M. H., Wang, D. D., Greenbaum, A.B., et al (2018). Prospective, Randomized Comparison of 3-Dimensional Computed Tomography Guidance Versus TEE Data for Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion (PRO3DLAAO). Catheter Cardiovasc Interv, 92(2), 401-407.
- 3 Morcos, R., Al Taii, H., Bansal, P., et al (2018). Accuracy of Commonly-Used Imaging Modalities in Assessing Left Atrial Appendage for Interventional Closure: Review Article. J Clin Med, 7(11).
- 4 Xu, B., Betancor, J., Sato, K., Harb, S., et al. (2018). Computed Tomography Measurement of the Left Atrial Appendage for Optimal Sizing of the WATCHMAN Device. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr, 12(1), 50-55.
- 5 Shee, V., He, L., Liu, S., et al (2020). Personalized Fluoroscopic Angles in Watchman Left Atrial Appendage Closure Landing Zone Assessment: A Three-Dimensional Printed Simulation Study. Cureus, 12(6), e8783.
- 6 Scholtz, J. E., & Ghoshhajra, B. (2017). Advances in Cardiac CT Contrast Injection and Acquisition Protocols. Cardiovasc Diagn Ther, 7(5), 439-451.
- 7 Saw, J., Lopes, J. P., Reisman, et al (2016). Cardiac Computed Tomography Angiography for Left Atrial Appendage Closure. Can J Cardiol, 32(8), 1033 e1031-1039.
- 8 Korsholm, K., Berti, S., Iriart, X., Saw, J., et al (2020). *Expert Recommendations on Cardiac Computed Tomography for Planning Transcatheter Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion*. JACC Cardiovasc Interv, 13(3), 277-292.

